

1 CHRISTINA M. DENNING, ESQ. (CA Bar No. 211137)  
2 denningc@higgslaw.com  
3 SCOTT J. INGOLD, ESQ. (NV Bar No. 11818)  
4 ingolds@higgslaw.com  
5 Higgs Fletcher & Mack LLP  
6 401 West "A" Street, Suite 2600  
7 San Diego, CA 92101-7913  
8 T: 619.236.1551  
9 F: 619.696.1410

10 JAMES F. HOLTZ, ESQ. (NV Bar No. 8119)  
11 james.holtz@holtzapc.com  
12 LAW OFFICE OF JAMES F. HOLTZ  
13 1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200  
14 Las Vegas, NV 89144  
15 T: 702.304.1803  
16 F: 702.304.1822

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
18 MARK HUNT

19  
20 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
21 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

22 MARK HUNT, an individual,  
23  
24 Plaintiff,  
25  
26 v.  
27  
28 ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE  
FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a  
Nevada limited liability company;  
BROCK LESNAR, an individual;  
DANA WHITE, an individual; and  
DOES 1-50, inclusive,  
Defendants.

**Case No.: 2:17-cv-00085-JAD-CWH**

**ORDER GRANTING NUNC PRO  
TUNC STIPULATION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
RESPOND TO MOTION TO  
DISMISS**

[ECF No. 17]

///

///

///

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff MARK HUNT  
 2 (“HUNT”) and defendants ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING  
 3 CHAMPIONSHIP (“ZUFFA”) and DANA WHITE (“WHITE”), by and through  
 4 their respective attorneys of record, as follows:

5 1. Whereas, on February 28, 2017, WHITE and ZUFFA, collectively  
 6 (“DEFENDANTS”), filed a Motion to Dismiss the above-referenced action,  
 7 following HUNT’s granting ZUFFA a several-week extension of time to file a first  
 8 responsive pleading;

9 2. Whereas, HUNT’s opposition to the Motion to Dismiss must be filed  
 10 on or before March 14, 2017;

11 3. Whereas, there has been no previous extension of time to file the  
 12 opposition to DEFENDANTS’ Motion to Dismiss;

13 4. Whereas, the hearing date on this motion is not until May 15, 2017;

14 5. Whereas, HUNT’s counsel represents that the nature and complexity  
 15 of the issues raised by his complaint on-file in this action and Defendants’ Motion  
 16 to Dismiss warrant additional time to draft an opposition that fairly presents the  
 17 meritorious legal arguments needed to oppose Defendants’ motion to dismiss;

18 7. Whereas, HUNT’s lead counsel, Christina Denning, is currently in a  
 19 jury trial in the Southern District of California, case number 13-CV-87-LAB  
 20 (JMA), and will not have an opportunity to meaningfully review and contribute to  
 21 the final opposition prior to the current date the opposition is due;

22 Therefore, the parties agree and hereby stipulate:

23 \\\

24 \\\

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

28 \\\

1 HUNT's time to file an opposition to DEFENDANTS' Motion to Dismiss  
2 shall be extended by seven (7) days, such that his opposition shall now be due on or  
3 before March 21, 2017.

4  
5 DATED: March 14, 2017

HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP

6 By: s:/CHRISTINA M. DENNING

7 CHRISTINA M. DENNING, ESQ.

8 SCOTT J. INGOLD, ESQ.

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

MARK HUNT

10 DATED: March 14, 2017

CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS

11 By: s:/PHILIP R. ERWIN

12 PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ.

13 Attorneys for Defendants ZUFFA,

14 LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING


15 CHAMPIONSHIP and DANA

16 WHITE

17 **ORDER**

18 Based on the parties' stipulation [ECF No. 17] and with good cause  
19 appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED NUNC PRO TUNC that the time for  
20 plaintiff Mark Hunt to respond to the defendants' motion to dismiss [ECF No. 11]  
21 is **EXTENDED** to **March 21, 2017**.

22  
23 DATED: March 15, 2017

24   
25 Jennifer A Dorsey  
26 United States District Judge